UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,614	03/28/2006	Dong Guoliang	19599.02US1	9784
	7590 09/14/201 RAYMOND PATENT	EXAMINER		
	AVE., SUITE 128	HARTMANN, GARY S		
MONTERET P	PARK, CA 91754		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/573,614	GUOLIANG, DO	GUOLIANG, DONG			
Office Action Summary			xaminer	Art Unit				
		G	ary Hartmann	3671				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appear	s on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a) nication. Itory period will ap ill, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUNIO  In no event, however, may a ropply and will expire SIX (6) MON se the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>24 June</i>	2010					
•			tion is non-final.					
′—		<i>′</i> —		ers prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٥,١	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dienositi	on of Claims		a qa.ay, 1000 0.2	,				
	Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn	irom consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	on and/on al						
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or en	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepte	ed or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	ion to the drav	wing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	he correction	is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen			_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0	O 048)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	O-3-10)		nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

**DETAILED ACTION** 

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. As previously discussed, the extensive functional language presents a claim which lacks a clear scope and is indefinite and the term "system" must be removed from the preambles.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (Chinese Patent 1342811) in view of Official notice.

Wang discloses a motorway with a greenway positioned there above (Figure 1); however, the motorway is not on the ground. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made to have positioned the motorway on the ground in order to reduce construction costs, since a ground motorway is less expensive than an elevated roadway.

Regarding specific roadway configurations, all are known in order to effectively convey traffic as desired. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have configured the system as claimed.

Claims 21-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (WO 01/94702) in view of Wang (Chinese Patent 1342811).

Lee teaches the roadway as discussed in the Office action mailed 25 June 2009. Lee does not teach a greenway positioned above the motorway. Wang teaches a greenway positioned above a motorway (Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have positioned the greenway of Wang above the motorway of Lee in order to increase user safety, as taught by Wang.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 24 June 2009 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 571-272-6989. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,614

Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

/Gary Hartmann/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671